	Cond	СПО	
	<del></del>	Π	Page 516
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR	1	indictment only as to Carter. The second, which is what I
2	THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA	2	have marked as Defendant's Exhibit 2, are the excerpts of the
3	NORTHERN DIVISION	3	grand jury proceedings occurring on August the 15th of 2002
4		4	which is where the superceding indictment was issued which
5		5	added the conspiracy. I have got again the first and last
6	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	6	pages of that particular transcript being pages one and 12
7		7	respectively. And I should clarify that too, the first one I
8	Vs. CR. NO. 02-07-N	8	gave you was the testimony of Officer Sisson, and as well as
9		9	the August 15th, 2002 testimony as well being the testimony
10	JIMMY CARTER, JR. and	10	of Officer Sisson. And included in Defendant's Exhibit 2 are
11	ARTRONE CHEATHAM	11	pages five, six, eight and again the last page being the
12		12	reporter's certificate, 12.
13	JURY TRIAL	13	THE COURT: And you are going to mark these exhibits
14		14	for the record; is that right?
15	Before Hon. Mark E. Fuller, Judge,	15	MR. MADISON: Yes, sir, I marked them as Defendant's
16	and a Jury, at Montgomery, Alabama,	16	Exhibits 1 and 2 being offered as to our argument.
17	Commencing on June 16, 2003	17	THE COURT: You already have a Defendant's Exhibit
18		18	
19	VOL. III (June 18, 2003)	19	THE CLERK: They are wanting to do it Defendant
20	APPEARANCES: For the Government: Todd A. Brown,	20	Carter's yesterday 1, and today Cheatham's, so I was going to
21	Assistant U.S. Attorney	21	write the name under the number.
22	For the Defendant, Carter: Maurice S. Bell,	22	MR. MADISON: It might be joint, or do you want to
23	Attorney at Law Federal	23	mark it Carter's 2 and 3 instead of Cheatham?
24	For the Defendant, Cheatham: Donald G. Madison,	24	THE CLERK: Or joint.
25	Attorney at Law	25	THE COURT: We just need to clarify them for the
	Page 515	;	Page 517
1	(The above case coming on for trial at Montgomery,	1	record.
2	Alabama, June 16, 2003, before Honorable Mark E. Fuller,	2	MR. MADISON: Two and three, Carter's 2 and 3.
3	Judge, and a Jury, the following proceedings were had outside	3	MR. BELL: Right.
4	the presence of the jury on June 18, 2003, commencing at 9:05	4	MR. MADISON: I am going to change this one to three
5	a.m.:)	5	then, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Madison, I understand you have	6	THE COURT: Ms. Ernestine, make sure this is changed
7	something you want to bring up before the Court?	7	to two; is that correct?
8	MR. MADISON: Yes, sir. I had previously advised	8	MR BELL: Yes, sir.
9	the Court that we had made some objections based upon the use	9	THE CLERK: Which is two?
10	of the Defendant Carter's proffer statements and I advised	10	MR. MADISON: Two is the January 29th, 2002 and
11	the Court at that time I would supply those excerpts from the	11	Defendant's Exhibit 3 is August the 15th, 2002.
12	grand jury proceedings where those statements were utilized,	12	THE CLERK: And these are Defendant Carter's.
13	and I have made copies of those now and I wanted to put those	13	MR. MADISON: Yes, ma'am.
14	of record. And what I have done is I have made a copy of the	14	THE CLERK: I will write Carter under this one.
15	first and last page of the transcripts of the proceedings	15	MR. MADISON: And I am writing Carter on this one.
16	that were provided to me by Mr. Brown. The first being	16	And again, Your Honor, Rule 410, Federal Rules of Evidence,
17	January the 29th, 2002 and pages one, which is the cover	17	states clearly our position is that any excerpt of the plea
18	page, page five, page six, and the last page being the	18	statement, any statement made in the course of plea
19	certification of the reporter's certificate, page 15. I	19	discussions or negotiations are inadmissible in any civil or
20	would like to offer I don't believe we have offered any	20	criminal proceeding. Period.
21	exhibits, so the Defendant be 1. And are you waiting on	21	THE COURT: I haven't heard any testimony that I
22	me, Judge?	22	feel would be a violation of the limitations set forth in
			or a rio or and additional out to the in-
23	_		Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence. But you have got
23 24	THE COURT: Yes, I can listen, go ahead.  MR. MADISON: The first exhibit, Defendant's Exhibit	23 24	Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence. But you have got your motion. If this is a motion in limine, I will grant it.

1 being the January 29th, 2002 proceedings dealt with the

If this is a motion to dismiss the indictment I have already

	Case 2:06-cv-00210-MEF-CSC Documental	:nse	eIt Filed 04/14/2006 Page 2 of 6
	Page 686	1	Page 688
1	the various other documentation that shows that the trash was	1	
2	for 304 Payson Road, discarded bills and such.	1	A. It is an awful lot of money to me.
3	THE COURT: That's what I wanted to ask you, was	3	Q. How about to a drug dealer?
4	there any other documentation addressed to either Mr. Carter	4	
5	or anyone else that lived at that house in that trash can?	5	Q. Right. And it's a lot of money to me too.
6	THE WITNESS: I can't specifically remember a name	6	THE COURT: Anything else?
7	but it was for 304 Payson Road.	7	MR. BELL: I'm through.
8	THE COURT: Was any of that collected?	8	THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
9	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.	9	MR. BELL: I would like to reserve I mean, if
10	THE COURT: That's all I have.	10	possible, to have him go forward.
11	MR. BELL: May I ask him real quick?	11	THE COURT: Mr. Brown, anything from the government?
12	BY MR. BELL:	12	MR. BROWN: No. sir.
13	Q. Did you find any latex gloves in the house?	13	THE COURT: You may step down. Call your next
14	A. No, sir.	14	witness.
15	Q. No further questions. Wait a minute. And you found no	15	MR. BROWN: May I confer with the clerk for a
16	latex gloves in the discarded latex gloves in the trash	16	moment, Your Honor?
17	can.	17	THE COURT: You certainly may.
18	A. No, sir, I did not.	18	(Pause)
19	Q. Was the trash can in the street or was it on the grass?	19	MR. BROWN: At this time, Your Honor, the government
20	A. It was on the grass right at the edge of the curb.	20	rests.
21	Q. Okay. And you know who put it there?	21	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I am going to
22	A. No, sir, I sure don't.	22	when I instructed you Monday I instructed you there were
23	Q. Did you take fingerprints of the trash can?	23	times that we would have to take up things out of your
24	A. No, sir, I did not.	24	presence. We have reached one of those times. You have just
25	Q. Okay. Was there anything in the house that indicated	25	heard that the government has presented everything that it
	Page 687		Page 689
1	that Carter owned that house?	1	intends to present in its case in chief. Before we move any
2	A. Like a deed?	2	further into the trial of these cases we need to take up some
3	Q. Like a deed or mortgage or anything such as that.	3	matters outside of your presence. And I am required to
4	A. No, there was not.	4	instruct you and do instruct you not to discuss the case at
5	Q. Okay. I believe you introduced into evidence a document	5	this time among yourselves or allow anyone to discuss the
6	showing a bank account.	6	case with you. Please leave your notes in your chair. If you
7	A. For Sterling Bank, yes, sir.	7	will step outside with the marshal, we will be back with you
8	Q. Okay. Was there anything in the trash can to show that	8	momentarily.
9	he owned the house?	9	(At which time, 3:31 p.m., the jury left the
10	A. No, sir, there was not.	10	courtroom.)
11	Q. Okay. And I believe that that bank thing showed that	11	THE COURT: The record will reflect that we are
12	they put money in the bank; is that correct?	12	outside of the hearing and the presence of the jury. With the
13	A. Well, it was just there was money in that account,	13	government having announced that it rests are there any
14	yes, sir.	14	motions to be made on behalf of either Defendant?
15	Q. It was what, seven thousand dollars or whatever?	15	MR. MADISON: Yes, sir. The Defendant Cheatham moves
16	A. I don't remember specifically.	16	for judgement at the close of the government's evidence. And
17	Q. In your opinion do drug dealers use an account like	17	the reasons that we move for judgment are, number one,
18	that?	18	there's been no witness who has presented any evidence during
19	A. Yes, sir.	19	the period of time that the conspiracy is in effect or that
20	Q. Well, they try to hide their drugs, don't they?	20	is alleged in the indictment that any crack cocaine was

21 A. Large sums of money they would try to hide, yes, sir.

22 Q. That's not large sums of money for a person who is in

THE COURT: Ask him a question, if he knows.

business, is it? I bet you have it, don't you, in your

23

24

25

account?

Page 686 - Page 689

attributed to my client, Mr. Cheatham. The indictment charges

in count one of the indictment that he conspired with Jimmy

counts two through five, being the possessory counts against

the Defendant Carter, had nothing to do with my client. Mr.

Carter to sell crack cocaine. Officer Sisson testified that

Page 690

Salary, when he was on the stand, testified that any crack
cocaine was prior to 1999. The period of the indictment is
'99 through 2002.

Furthermore, Mr. Sisson testified that Mr. Carter
was arrested on July the 25th of the year 2001. Any
conspiracy with respect to Mr. Carter would have terminated
on the date of his arrest. Additionally, Mr. Sisson -- I'm
sorry, Mr. Salary was incarcerated in February of the year
2000. Any testimony that he may have provided would have
terminated on the date of his arrest.

But the crux of the argument in any event is that
the government has failed to present by a preponderance of
the evidence or has failed to shift the burden to the
Defendant Cheatham with respect to the charge made under
count one of the indictment as to the conspiracy to sell
crack cocaine. There's absolutely no evidence before the jury
at this point in time that the Defendant Cheatham at any time
between January of 1999 and 2000, 2002 had anything to do
with crack cocaine, or the sale of 50 grams or more of crack
cocaine as charged in the indictment.

those, basically I argued at one point in time there was a
jurisdictional defect and acquisition of jurisdiction of
Defendant Carter in the first place. The search warrant for

22 in some early motions in the case, and without restating

There are a number of other issues, Judge, I raised

ge 690

1 indictment was predicated. The government used the proffer

Page 692

Page 693

- 2 statements of the Defendant Carter in order to obtain an
- 3 indictment for conspiracy, therefore in violation of Rule
- 4 410, and one of the other rules I cited, I don't recall right
- 5 off the bat. The government illegally used evidence, the
- 6 Defendant Carter's proffer statement, in order to obtain the
- 7 conspiracy, and therefore directly used his statement against
- 8 him in order to obtain the superseding indictment which is
- 9 count one of the indictment.

Also the -- to the extent that the government would

11 attempt to utilize any of the -- I think they have already

12 conceded, and our position was in the severed trial they

- 13 conceded that counts two through five as to Cheatham were not
- 14 applicable to Cheatham. The record reflected that. The
- 15 testimony of Sisson also states that those counts aren't
- 16 applicable to Cheatham, therefore, there's no physical
- 17 evidence to support any charge made against the Defendant
- 18 Cheatham. So even if the -- there's nothing to prove the
- 19 quantity portions of the Apprendi standards for asserting
- 20 liability against my client under any drugs, possession of
- 21 drugs or otherwise.

I know I am not being fully -- I wasn't aware that the government was going to terminate the prosecution at this point in time so I guess I would just with the Court's

25 indulgence incorporate any prior argument that I have made in

Page 691

- 1 Defendant Carter was issued by a Municipal Court judge in
- 2 Montgomery. Federal Rules of Procedure require that with
- 3 respect to any evidence in a federal case, and that is a case
- 4 initiated by officers under the guise of a federal
- 5 prosecution or where there's contemplation that the
- 6 prosecution is going to occur or to occur in Federal Court, I
- 7 think it's Rule 41, states that the warrant has to be issued
- 8 by a Federal Magistrate or by a state court of record.

9 Also submitted at the time that that original motion 10 was filed some case law, I think it was Title 15-15-3 Code of

1 Alabama, and it may not have, may have been a different Code

- 12 section, which also addressed the fact that Municipal Court
- 13 judges or that anything dealing with a Municipal Court judge,
- 14 something to do with the state court records, I don't have
- 15 that particular thing right now, but a Municipal Court judge
- 16 is not a state court of record, and therefore that the Court
- 17 didn't properly acquire jurisdiction over Mr. Cheatham in the
- 18 first place.

21

19 There are some other arguments. The fact that

20 the -- all the evidence that the government had at the time

- 21 of the original indictment, there was no new evidence offered
- 22 at the time they obtained the superseding indictment. The
- 23 conspiracy charge was added at the time the superseding
- 24 indictment was changed. I think it's Rule 7 of the Federal
- 25 Rules, there was no new evidence on which the superseding

1 my motions to dismiss as if they were restated in full.

- THE COURT: The Court adopts the same rulings that
- 3 it has adopted earlier in regard to your Motion for Judgement
- 4 of Acquittal under Rule 29 of the Federal Rules of Criminal
- 5 Procedure. The Court does find that should the jury believe
- 6 the testimony of Mr. Wallace Salary, there was testimony from
- 7 Mr. Salary which indicated that through the end of 1999 he
- 8 was still dealing with Mr. Carter and with Mr. Cheatham, as
- 9 many times as two to three times per week, and as well as
- 10 other testimony from Mr. Salary regarding his dealings with
- 11 Mr. Cheatham through Mr. Carter. And the Court finds that
- 12 there is evidence before the Court sufficient to sustain a
- 3 conviction. And as to your Motion for Judgement of Acquittal
- 14 it is denied.

16

15 MR. MADISON: Judge, may I --

- THE COURT: I am sorry?
- 17 MR. MADISON: Were you finished?
- 18 THE COURT: Yes, sir.
- MR. MADISON: Just with respect to that, then with
- 20 respect to your finding, the testimony was clear that
- 21 anything that Mr. Salary testified to in '99 as to my client
- 22 dealt solely with alleged powder, and not with respect to any
- 23 crack cocaine. He testified specifically when I asked him
- 24 because I presented him the testimony of the prior hearing
- 25 where he talked about nothing but powder in '99. He

Page 694

1 specifically said '96, '97, '98, not '99. So he provided no 2 testimony with respect to crack cocaine during the period of 3 time that the conspiracy is alleged in the indictment.

THE COURT: Any response, Mr. Brown?

9

10

11

12

13

21

7

4 5 MR. BROWN: The only response is, Your Honor, I 6 specifically asked on redirect what the agreement was of the 7 three individuals and it was powder would be converted into 8 crack cocaine.

THE COURT: That's what I recall Mr. Salary saving. So should the jury elect to believe Mr. Salary's testimony I find that the evidence would be sufficient to sustain a conviction as to the conspiracy count charged in count one. Anything else, Mr. Madison?

14 MR. MADISON: One other thing. And he also 15 testified that he never witnessed Mr. Cheatham convert crack 16 cocaine

17 THE COURT: All of those things are matters of 18 argument. I am just saying matters of proof that the Court 19 would take note of in ruling on your Motion for Judgment of 20 Acquittal.

MR. BELL: I join in that motion on the conspiracy

count. I realize that my client is charged with some 22 23 substantive counts. I believe, Your Honor, that on three point five grams that my client is charged with, and I 24 believe the testimony was by Mr. -- Officer Thornton that

Page 695

1 that was indicative of a possession of cocaine for personal

2 use. A small amount of it. And he said it could be

3 considered that. And, of course, one of my charges would be

for the lesser on that case. And for the Court to direct a

verdict of guilt on the three point five, there's sufficient

6 evidence by law to substantiate this motion.

Secondly, join Mr. Madison in a verdict of acquittal in counts three -- I believe it's three and four, at 304 Payson. It would be four and five, Your Honor. Since the

10 evidence and the testimony, or the evidence clearly shows

11 that the property belongs to someone else, that he had no

12 interest in that property, that they did not show that he

paid any bills, they didn't show that he paid any electric 13

14 bills. The only thing is that they were in partners on an

15 automobile, but as far as the house was concerned where the

drugs were found, there were no fingerprints, absolutely 16

17 nothing, and that the four and five counts should be

18 dismissed because he had no proprietary interest in it.

19 As the Court knows, we filed a motion to suppress

only the counts at his house and his place of business and we

21 feel that those two counts at 304 Payson Place should be

22 dismissed. During -- the manner in which the police

23 department obtained the search warrants from a trash can,

24 which anybody can use and people do use it, all over

Montgomery, if their trash can is full, they use someone

Page 696 1 else's trash can. There's no fingerprints found or anything

in that trash can to indicate that Mr. Carter placed any of

the items in there. And so for that reason we ask that counts

four and five be dismissed because there's no connection

between the trash can and Mr. Carter. Not one iota.

And also -- we also ask that you dismiss on -- the conspiracy count be dismissed. The only evidence there indicates -- was from Wallace Salary, a convicted felon. He

stated he's doing it to get a Rule 35, and that was testimony

10 of someone suspicious. He would be subject to doubt. And I

11 think that that in itself would negate the conspiracy count.

12 THE COURT: The Court adopts the prior rulings that 13 it has made in the motions that you have filed prior to this trial and your Motion for Judgment of Acquittal on the

conspiracy count, count one, as well as on the substantive

counts, counts two through four -- two through five, I'm

sorry, are denied. Is the defense ready to present its case? 17 18

MR. BELL: One other thing, Your Honor, I would like to renew my motion to dismiss. I think that the Courts were

in error when my motion to dismiss was based on prosecutorial

21 misconduct in using the proffer statement also in -- to

22 obtain a superseding indictment.

23 THE COURT: Motion denied. And as a matter of 24

record, for your issue on search of the garbage can, the

Court has looked into that issue on its own and would cite

Page 697 for the record the Court of Appeals 7th Circuit opinion of

United States versus Redmond, cited at 138 F.3d 1109, finds

that the facts of this case are substantially similar and are

on all fours with the ruling by the 7th Circuit in that case.

And would cite that the Court finds that the search of the

residence located at 304 Payson Road was a valid search

following the officer's receipt of a search warrant in that 7

8 case.

9 MR. MADISON: Judge, may I just raise one more issue about that? 10

11 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

12 MR. MADISON: A search warrant -- obviously stayed away from that as far as putting it on the record in the presence of the jury, but as far as the Court, the search

warrant is part of the Court's file but I believe there was a misrepresentation contained therein that Mr. Carter owned

17 that property in order to obtain the search warrant. I think

the search warrant specifically affirmed that Carter owned

the residence, and I believe that the testimony here today

was that Carter did not own the residence, that Ms. Thomas

owned the property. And while it was not an intentional

22 misrepresentation it was a misrepresentation to obtain the

23 warrant.

24 THE COURT: Was there a motion to suppress filed on

the search warrant? By either Defendant?

	Case 2.00-CV-00210-INIEF-CSC Documental Miled 04/14/2006 Page 5 016						
١,	Page 698		Page 700				
	MR. BELL: Your Honor, can I as I explained	1	THE COURT Is that a great Mr. Chartham?				
2	before, I join in on that. We do not have a possessory interest to have filed a suppression hearing on those two	2	THE COURT: Is that correct, Mr. Cheatham?				
3	••	3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.  THE COURT: And that's a decision made as a				
4	counts.	4					
5	THE COURT: Okay.	5	voluntarily decision on each of your parts; is that correct,				
6	MR. BELL: On four and five. We do have a possessory	6	Mr. Carter?				
7	interest on the stop, but we are claiming that we have no	7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.				
8	connection what so ever. We don't pay the rent, it's not our	8	THE COURT: Is that correct, Mr. Cheatham?				
9	house. Someone sells property. It's nothing that was	9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.				
10	introduced that my client in any way paid taxes on that	10	MR MADISON: Judge, could I ask that instructions				
11	house, paid rent on the house, utility, garbage, water,	11	be given I think there's part of the instructions that				
12	anything what so ever.	12	they are not exercising their right to testify.				
13	THE COURT: I have ruled. Is the defense ready to	13	THE COURT: Well, before we				
14	proceed?	14	MR. BELL: Is it possible to have a discussion on				
15	MR. MADISON: Yes, Your Honor.	15	the jury charges?				
16	THE COURT: Let's bring the jury in.	16	THE COURT: Yes, that's what I was going to suggest				
17	MR. BELL: Yes, Your Honor.	17	that we do. What are your feelings about arguing the case				
18	MR. MADISON: I am not planning on putting on any	18	today? Would you prefer to have our charge conference this				
19	witnesses, are you?	19	afternoon and then argue the case in the morning?				
20	MR. BELL: No.	20	MR. MADISON: Yes, sir.				
21	THE COURT: Is the defense going to call any	21	MR. BELL: I would prefer. It's up to Todd, how do				
22	witnesses? Do you want to take a minute or two to confer?	22	you feel?				
23	MR. MADISON: Yes, sir.	23	MR. BROWN: I would prefer obviously prefer to				
24	THE COURT: Do that very quickly.	24	have the charge conference first but if we can get done in				
25	(pause)	25	time we don't have any objection to arguing this afternoon.				
,	Page 699	١,	Page 701				
1	MR. BELL: We rest, Your Honor.		MR. BELL: We'd prefer tomorrow.				
2	MR. MADISON: We rest too.	2	THE COURT: The time is shortly before 4:00 o'clock,				
3	THE COURT: Okay. Do you renew your same motions?	3	I think it would take at least 30 minutes to have a charge				
4	MR. MADISON: Yes, sir, we renew our motions at the	4	conference. Why don't we bring the jury back in and excuse				
3	close of our case being the same motions that were raised at	Ι.	them for the night. We can a have a charge conference in my				
$\begin{vmatrix} 6 \\ 7 \end{vmatrix}$	the close of all the government's or at the end of the	6	office and begin in the morning at 9:00 o'clock.				
7	government's case. We would move for a Judgment of	7	MR. BELL: Your Honor, I hate to be repetitive but				
8	Acquittal.	8	there's no evidence that the three point five grams that he				
	THE COURT: You can reserve your objections as you	9	took to his house and brought it, that he used that for				
10	stated them earlier and the same ruling for the Court, Mr.	10	distribution, and I think that's a matter of law. Nobody				
11	Bell, do you reserve your same motions?	11	testified to that other than Mr. Thornton, who stated it was				
13	MR. BELL: Yes, Your Honor.	12	indicative of a lesser included crime of possession for one's				
1.	THE COURT: And the Court would adopt the same	13	personal use. I would like that to				
14	findings and rulings from the Court. Now, one thing I wanted	14	THE COURT: Before we bring the jury in, this tape				
15	to make sure on behalf of both Mr. Carter and Mr. Cheatham, I	15	that we had such an effort to get.				
16	wanted to make sure that you understand that you have a right	16	MR. MADISON: They didn't call the witness whom that				
17	to testify, each of you, in this case. If you will please	17	tape is applicable to, Judge.				
18	stand, both of you. Mr. Carter, I am not going to put you	18	THE COURT: It was my understanding that the defense				
19	under oath, nor am I going to put you under oath, Mr.	19	was insistent on the tape being produced while Mr. Salary was				
20	Cheatham, just to make sure that you understand that the	20	on the stand testifying, and it had something to do with				
21	right to testify or not to testify is your right, and your	21	impeaching Mr. Salary, and I just want to make sure that I				
22	right alone. And you are represented by attorneys here and	22	understand on this record that the tape is now available and				
23	it is my understanding since no evidence will be presented	23	has been available to you. The defense is choosing not to				
24	that each of you are choosing not to testify; is that	24	utilize the tape in any further fashion, and even asked the				
123	correct, Mr. Carter?	25	Court to take it home and listen to it, and I have had it				

Page 702 Page 704 turned over to the Court by Ms. James. 1 1 evening and ask that you come back so that we can be prepared 2 2 MR. BELL: May we take it home and listen to it? to continue this trial in the morning at 9:00 o'clock. I will 3 THE COURT: You have had all the opportunity to tell you what will occur in the morning at 9:00 o'clock is 4 listen to it that you can. My concern is, it was represented the attorneys will make what is termed their closing 5 to this Court that Ms. James was wilfully withholding arguments to you based upon their respective positions, and information from the defense that was beneficial to the the evidence as you have heard. I will then instruct you on 6 7 impeachment of Mr. Salary, and I am not so sure that's an the law of the case and then you will begin your 8 accurate statement. deliberations at that time. But do not begin your 9 MR. MADISON: And I am not so sure that, with all deliberations now, do not allow anyone to discuss the case 10 due respect to the Court, that the Court is -- it was not a 10 with you at this time. 11 11 wilful concealment. We had stated we had called her a few And please recall my instructions to you about the 12 times and she stated she had been out town. All we asked is media as well as all other instructions that I gave you on 13 that while she was in the court. So in that respect there Monday. If you will leave your notes in your chair you can was no allegation that she was not wilfully turning over the 14 retrieve those tomorrow when you arrive, and we will conclude 15 tape, we just hadn't been able to get up with her. The tape the evidence and the argument portion of this case tomorrow 16 was made by Dewon Williams who the government was going to morning. And I hope that you have a good evening. See you 17 call as a witness in the case. 17 tomorrow morning at 9:00 o'clock. Meet downstairs before 18 18 THE COURT: I don't know who the tape was made by. 9:00 o'clock and we will begin promptly at 9:00. 19 MR. MADISON: We do. They didn't call that witness, 19 (At which time, 4:00 p.m., the jury left the 20 therefore --20 courtroom.) 21 21 THE COURT: Let's be careful of the representations THE COURT: The record will reflect we are out of 22 we make about information. It was my understanding that it the hearing and the presence of the jury. And we will be in 23 23 had to do with a statement that involved Mr. Sisson and it recess until 9:00 in the morning. We will have a charge 24 was necessary that he be retained, which I have asked that he conference in my chambers. If you will give me about 15, 20 not be moved out of the Elmore County facility so that you minutes to get the jury charges prepared for your review, we Page 703 Page 705 can go over them in my chambers say around 4:20. 1 can recall him based on the information in that tape. 2 2 MR. MADISON: salary, you said Sisson. (At which time, 4:02 p.m., a recess was had until the 3 THE COURT: 1'm sorry, Mr. Salary. following day.) MR. MADISON: But it did deal with Mr. Salary but it 5 can only be authenticated through Mr. Williams, who they did 5 6 not call. 6 7 7 THE COURT: Okay. Let's bring the jury in. 8 (At which time, 3:59 p.m., the jury entered the 8 9 9 courtroom.) 10 10 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, as you heard 11 11 before, I allowed you to be in recess. The government has 12 announced that it rests its case. While you were absent from 12 13 the courtroom the defense indicated that they intended to 13 14 call no witnesses on either of their behalf. It is necessary 14 15 for the attorneys and I to confer before we go to the final 15 16 phase of this trial, and so that we don't have to keep you 16 17 past 5:00 o'clock tonight I have some good news and some bad 17 18 news. Obviously the good news is you are going to get to go 19 home early this afternoon. The bad news is you will be back 19 20 20 tomorrow. The good news on that hopefully is this case will 21 conclude tomorrow. It will be presented to you for your 21 22 deliberation. You can take as long as you would like to reach 22 23 your decision, and I will give you instructions at that time. 23 24 But so that we can efficiently use you in this case. 24 25 ladies and gentlemen, I am going to dismiss you for the